

MINUTES

Oakdale, California
October 21, 2014

The Board of Directors of the Oakdale Irrigation District invited all to recite the Pledge of Allegiance and then met in Regular Session at the hour of 9:00 a.m. The following Directors were present:

Directors: Steve Webb, President
Herman Doornenbal, Vice President
Frank Clark
Al Bairos, Jr.

Absent: Jack D. Alpers

Staff Present: Steve Knell, General Manager/Secretary
Kathy Cook, Chief Financial Officer
Gary Jernigan, Contract/Special Projects Manager
Jason Jones, Support Services Manager
Eric Thorburn, Water Operations Manager

Also Present: James Oliveira, General Counsel

ADDITION OR DELETION OF AGENDA ITEMS

There were no additions or deletions of agenda items.

General Manager Steve Knell stated that two additional Closed Session items had developed following the posting of the Agenda and needed to be added to Closed Session Item 15:

C. Government Code §54957.6 - Conference with Labor Negotiator

Agency Negotiator: General Manager

Unrepresented Employee Organization: Operations Employees

D. Government Code §54956.9

Initiation of Litigation Pursuant to Paragraph (4) of Subdivision (d) of §54956.9

One (1) Case

A motion was made by Director Bairos, seconded by Director Clark, and unanimously supported to add the above items to the Closed Session, Item 15.

ACTION TO TAKE VARIOUS ITEMS OUT OF SEQUENCE

There were no items taken out of sequence.

At the hour of 9:02 a.m. the Board welcomed public comment.

PUBLIC COMMENT
ITEM NO. 1

There being no public comment, public comment closed at 9:02 a.m.

PUBLIC HEARING
ITEM NO. 2
PUBLIC HEARING AND CERTIFICATION OF VOTE
FOR A PROPOSED AGRICULTURAL WATER USER CHARGE INCREASE

The District adopted Resolution No. 2014-69, Procedures for Receiving and Tabulating Protests Against Agricultural Water User Charge Increases Under Proposition 218 (Prop 218), at the August 19, 2014 Board Meeting. Prop 218 (Articles XIIC and XIID of the California Constitution) requires the District to comply with certain substantive and procedural requirements prior to increasing the agricultural water user charges, which includes the consideration of written protests to water user charge increases.

Prop 218 requires that a notice be mailed to users at least forty-five days prior to the public hearing on the proposed water user charges. On August 28, 2014, the District mailed all owners of record of each irrigable parcel within the District's boundaries a notice of the proposed change in the water charge and a protest ballot.

To ensure transparency and accountability in the water charge protest tabulation, protests will constitute disclosable public records from and after the time they are opened at the close of the public hearing. To protect the privacy interests of those participating in the proceedings and the integrity of the balloting process, protests will not be open to public inspection prior to the close of the public hearing.

1. The General Manager's decision regarding the validity of the protest shall constitute a final action of the Board and shall not be subject to any further administrative appeal.
2. A majority protest exists if written protests are timely submitted and are not withdrawn by the record owners of a majority (50% plus one) of the parcels subject to the proposed water user charges.
3. During and after the tabulation of the protests, the protests shall be treated as disclosable public records, as defined in Section 6252 of the Government Code, and shall be equally available for public inspection to both supporters and opponents of the proposed water user charges.
4. At the conclusion of the public hearing, the General Manager shall complete the tabulation of all protests received, including those received during the public hearing and shall report the results of the tabulation to the Board of Directors upon completion.

President Steve Webb opened the Public Hearing at 9:02 a.m. and asked if there were any additional ballots to be submitted and asked for any public comment. There being no public comment, the hearing was closed at 9:02 a.m.

The General Manager Steve Knell reported the results of the tabulation to the Board of Directors; the District received 521 protest votes prior to the hearing and an additional 15 protest votes were received during the hearing thereby making the total vote count 536. A majority protest would be 1,476 ballots and therefore was not met.

A motion was made by Director Bairos, seconded by Director Clark, and unanimously supported to certify the Protest Vote for a Proposed Agricultural Water User Charge Increase.

Director Bairos requested that Item No. 7 be pulled from the Consent Calendar.

CONSENT ITEMS
ITEM NOS. 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12

ITEM NO. 3
APPROVE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF OCTOBER 7, 2014 AND RESOLUTION NOS. 2014-71 and 2014-72

A motion was made by Director Bairos, seconded by Director Doornenbal, and unanimously supported to approve the Board of Directors' Minutes of the Regular Meeting of October 7, 2014 and Resolution Nos. 2014-71 and 2014-72.

ITEM NO. 4
APPROVE THE OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT STATEMENT OF OBLIGATIONS

A motion was made by Director Bairos, seconded by Director Doornenbal, and unanimously supported to approve the Oakdale Irrigation District Statement of Obligations.

ITEM NO. 5
APPROVE ASSIGNMENT OF CAPITAL WORK ORDER NUMBERS

A motion was made by Director Bairos, seconded by Director Doornenbal, and unanimously supported to approve the assignment of the following Capital Work Order Numbers:

<u>Facility</u>	<u>Project Description</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>	<u>Work Order No.</u>
Birnbaum Pipeline	Remove and upgrade 2 – turnout gates and 1 – center gate within existing concrete control box to include a 12", 15" & 36" Fresno 101C slide gate and necessary appurtenances.	\$9,500	2014-069
East Pump Pipeline	Remove and upgrade inline valve to include A 15" inline valve, 20 LF of 15" 100 PSI PIP PVC and necessary appurtenances.	\$11,300	2014-071

<u>Facility</u>	<u>Project Description</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>	<u>Work Order No.</u>
Southwest Pipeline	Remove and upgrade approximately 1,700 LF of pipeline to include 27" 100 PSI PIP PVC, 2 – air vent assemblies, control structure, stilling well assembly, 6 – gates and necessary appurtenances.	\$341,900	2014-072
Huffman Pipeline	Remove and upgrade turnout gate with 12" Fresno 101C slide gate and miscellaneous appurtenances.	\$2,400	2014-073

ITEM NO. 6

APPROVE REQUEST FOR A ONE YEAR WAIVER OF OUT-OF-DISTRICT FEES FOR ORANGE BLOSSOM PARK (APN: 010-027-007 – CORPS OF ENGINEERS)

A motion was made by Director Bairos, seconded by Director Doornenbal, and unanimously supported to approve a one-year waiver of out-of-district fees for Orange Blossom Park (APN: 010-027-007 – Corps of Engineers).

ITEM NO. 8

APPROVE RENEWAL OF EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (EAP) WITH SUTTER HEALTH

A motion was made by Director Bairos, seconded by Director Doornenbal, and unanimously supported to approve renewal of Employee Assistance Program (EAP) with Sutter Health.

**ACTION ITEMS
NOS. 7, 9, 10, 11**

ITEM NO. 7

APPROVE WATER COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION ON WAIVER OF THEFT OF WATER FINE (APN: 002-015-011 – McAFEE)

Director Bairos stated that the Water Committee recommended that this waiver be approved and he asked if everything had been documented. Director Webb stated that the ditch is going to be redone and this should not be a problem for them in the future. Support Services Manager Jason Jones stated that the District is going to rehab the canal so that the District has drivable access to McAfee's property and we are also going to be installing a new drop and turnout structure.

A motion was made by Director Bairos, seconded by Director Doornenbal, and unanimously supported to approve the waiver of theft of water fine (APN: 002-015-011 – McAfee).

ITEM NO. 9
REVIEW AND TAKE POSSIBLE ACTION TO AUTHORIZE
STAFF TO ENGAGE IN NEGOTIATIONS AND THE DEVELOPMENT
OF A TERM SHEET WITH PAULSELL VALLEY LANDOWNERS

Upon further discussion with the Paulsell landowners it has become apparent that the real issue to moving forward does not involve per se the project scope or cost, it involves establishing “certainty” in a variety of areas. That issue seems to be the driver.

With that said, Staff is requesting the authorization, along with Water Counsel, to engage in developing a term sheet to alleviate the unknowns that currently exist. If a term sheet can be reached that meets the needs of OID and the landowners the project has a high likelihood of moving forward to the design phase without a refined project cost.

A motion was made by Director Bairos, seconded by Director Doornenbal, and unanimously supported to Authorize staff to engage in negotiations and the development of a term sheet with Paulsell Valley Landowners.

ITEM NO. 10
REVIEW AND TAKE POSSIBLE ACTION AUTHORIZING WATER
OPERATIONS MANAGER TO TRAVEL TO AUSTRALIA TO LEAN
ABOUT NEW RUBICON TECHNOLOGY AND VISIT VARIOUS
IRRIGATION DISTRICTS WITH THIS TECHNOLOGY IMPLEMENTED

Rubicon has invited Water Operations Manager Eric Thorburn to Australia to see the new Rubicon technology that is in operation at various irrigation districts.

Rubicon has offered to cover all expenses with the exception of roundtrip airfare.

OID is committed to the technology that Rubicon brings to open channel flow management and control. Keeping up on this technology through hands on experience and learning from those who have implemented this technology will save OID money in the long run. Management supports sending OID’s Water Operations Manager on this training venture.

A motion was made by Director Doornenbal, seconded by Director Bairos, and unanimously supported to authorize Water Operations Manager to travel to Australia to learn about new Rubicon Technology and visit various irrigation districts with this technology implemented.

ITEM NO. 11
REVIEW AND TAKE POSSIBLE ACTION TO ACCEPT AND
APPROVE THE INCREASE IN AGRICULTURAL WATER USER
RATES IN THE WATER RATE STUDY PREPARED BY CH2M HILL

In accordance with the Prop 218 hearing, on October 21, 2014, the Board has considered oral and written testimony presented regarding said increase in rates.

This resolution is dependent upon the outcome of the Prop 218 hearing.

Diane Kallstrom who resides at 29144 Lone Tree Road commented on this item.

A motion was made by Director Doornenbal, seconded by Director Bairos, and unanimously supported to accept and approve the increase in agricultural water user rates in the water rate study prepared by CH2M Hill.

DISCUSSION CALENDAR
ITEM NOS. 12, 13

ITEM NO. 12
DISCUSSION ON 2014 WATER SEASON

While WY 2014 was challenging, WY 2015 could be more challenging if the current dry hydrology repeats itself. At this point there are some significant unknowns that will need to be addressed before OID finalizes any operational scenarios for the 2015 irrigation season. That said, talking now about what we know and what we will need to know will assist in developing options as the winter progresses.

What We Know:

1. New Melones inflow for WY 2014 was 344,195 acre feet.
2. OID's 2014 WY allocation under the 88 Agreement for that inflow was 429,463 acre feet (formula water).
3. Outflow from New Melones for WY 2014 was 835,183 acre feet.
4. New Melones finished the water year (end of September) with 519,034 acre feet in storage.
5. There is not enough water in New Melones under a repeat of the WY 2014 runoff yield to meet all water demands in WY 2015.
6. Both OID and SSJID, under the 88 Agreement, had an allocation this year of 429,463 acre feet or 214,732 to each District.
 - a. OID diverted 201,438 acre feet of its share and as a result added 13,524 acre feet to its Conservation Account.
 - b. SSJID diverted 215,884 acre feet of its share and pulled 461 acre feet out of its Conservation Account to meet water demand.
 - c. Total Conservation Account for both Districts totals 162,239 acre feet (SSJID has 77,154 and OID has 85,085 acre feet)

What We Project IF the Hydrology Repeats Itself:

1. Come March 1, 2015 New Melones will have 510,622 acre feet in storage if no changes are made to the release schedule by the Bureau.
2. OID and SSJID, by virtue of the 88 Agreement, will have a combined 591,702 acre feet in storage in New Melones (that's inclusive of formula water and Conservation Account water)
3. It would appear the two District will own all the water behind New Melones on March 1, 2015.
4. The negative balance of 81,159 acre feet of OID and SSJID water will need to be addressed.
5. The Bureau will have zero water available to meet any downstream water demand flows.
6. Come End-of-September 2015, New Melones would be empty of all water.

What We Don't Know:

1. SSJID and OID are currently seeking the position and affirmation of the Bureau that all water in New Melones will belong to the two Districts beginning March 1, 2015, again, if the hydrology repeats itself.
 - a. It is nearly certain that no water for fish downstream of Goodwin after March 1, 2015 will be an unacceptable occurrence to the fishery agencies, but what that means at this point is unknown.
 - b. If we were to share the remainder of the water, we don't know at this point what that means.
2. The State Water Resources Control Board has a current prohibition on diverting water to storage for post-1914 water rights, which the Bureau is a post-1914 water right holder. How that impacts SSJID/OID and its 88 Agreement on its senior water right has been put in a letter to the Bureau for clarification.

Options for managing (internally) OID water if the Drought Worsens:

Two premises upon which OID's Surface Water Shortage Policy is based includes the following;

- Only Reasonable and Beneficial uses of water are permitted in a severe drought.
- All water goes to agriculture production in a severe drought.

With those leading premises, there are but a few options in generating additional water next year should the drought repeat itself or get worse. They are;

Option 1:

Implementing a 3 acre foot per acre allocation to each currently irrigated acre has the potential to drive about 33,500 acre feet into storage behind New Melones.

- a. Most crops would be O.K. under this option but pasture would be hurt the most. Three acre feet per acre would be about a 50% cut in pasture's typical water use.
- b. Conserved water generated from this would be stored in New Melones. This water would be sold at a "market rate" to those in OID who wished to purchase additional water.

- c. At the end of the irrigation season, a portion of those revenues from water sales would be reallocated on a per acre basis to lands that were fallowed during the irrigation season.
 - i. Pasture lands with only 50% allocation of their water may wish to fallow the remainder, but that has risks.
 - ii. It would be necessary to conduct a mid and post season survey to determine the acreage loss by parcel in this option.
 - iii. If little or no water was sold (as was the MID experience) the price received by landowners would be minimal.
 - iv. This option has a financial risk to participants due to the unknown amount of water that would be sold.

Option 2:

Implementing a small parcel fallowing program for all parcels that do not contain permanent crops would generate about 33,500 acre feet of water into storage behind New Melones.

- a. That water would again be stored and sold at a “market rate” to those in OID who wished to purchase additional water.
- b. At the end of the irrigation season, a portion of those revenues from water sales would be reallocated on a per acre basis to small parcel lands that were fallowed during the irrigation season.
 - i. Again, it would be necessary to conduct a mid and post season survey to determine the acreage loss in this option.
 - ii. Again, if little or no water was sold (as was the MID experience) the price received by landowners would be minimal.
 - iii. This option has a financial risk to participants due to the unknown amount of water that would be sold.

Implementing both Option 1 and 2 could potentially generate 55,000 acre feet but only if the drought does not get marginally worse. If the drought gets marginally worse these water generating options would have to be re-evaluated as to their water producing benefit.

Staff is looking for an open discussion on this issue but is requesting the assignment of an Ad Hoc Committee to carry on further discussions on how best to address water shortages in the coming year.

While out-of-district options have been precluded from the above, based on Board direction, Staff needs to make note that out-of-district options have the ability to bring “financial certainty” to those in OID at risk of financial loss if the drought continues.

ITEM NO. 13
DISCUSSION ON PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS (P3)

From Wikipedia;

*A **public–private partnership (PPP, P3,)** is a government service or private business venture which is funded and operated through a partnership of government and one or more private sector companies. These schemes are sometimes referred to as PPP, P3 or P³.*

PPP involves a contract between a public sector authority and a private party, in which the private party provides a public service or project and assumes substantial financial, technical and operational risk in the project. In some types of PPP, the cost of using the service is borne exclusively by the users of the service and not by the taxpayer.^[1] In other types (notably the private finance initiative), capital investment is made by the private sector on the basis of a contract with government to provide agreed services and the cost of providing the service is borne wholly or in part by the government. Government contributions to a PPP may also be in kind (notably the transfer of existing assets). In projects that are aimed at creating public goods like in the infrastructure sector, the government may provide a capital subsidy in the form of a one-time grant, so as to make it more attractive to the private investors. In some other cases, the government may support the project by providing revenue subsidies, including tax breaks or by removing guaranteed annual revenues for a fixed time period.

There are usually two fundamental drivers for PPPs. Firstly, PPPs are claimed to enable the public sector to harness the expertise and efficiencies that the private sector can bring to the delivery of certain facilities and services traditionally procured and delivered by the public sector. Secondly, a PPP is structured so that the public sector body seeking to make a capital investment does not incur any borrowing. Rather, the PPP borrowing is incurred by the private sector vehicle implementing the project and therefore, from the public sector's perspective, a PPP is an "off-balance sheet" method of financing the delivery of new or refurbished public sector assets.

Staff will brief the Board on how such financing can assist OID in advancing its system modernization efforts.

ITEM NO. 14
COMMUNICATIONS

A. GENERAL MANAGERS REPORT

Safety Activities

1. OID has gone 536 days without a lost time injury accident.

Administration Activities

1. Settlement talks continue on the Stanislaus River. A tour of participants has been scheduled for December 8th.
2. 2015 Budget preparation continues.

3. Met with Paulsell Landowner group on resolving water delivery concerns.
4. Met with OJUSD Superintendent Marc Malone on the building of the new school farm.
5. Participated in two water rate informational workshops on 10/13 and 10/14.
6. Tim Quinn, ACWA Exec. Officer attended the Tri Dam meeting.
7. GM continues to call in regarding Federal Jury duty each week.

Contract and Special Project Activities

1. Two –Mile Bar Tunnel (CEQA & Engineering) – Staff received and email on October 8, 2014 stating the Corps Planning Team requested a date from the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) as to when they would complete their evaluation. The FWS would not provide any date due to redefining priorities and lack of resources. Also, the Corps Planning Team followed up with the State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO) as to the status of their evaluation. SHPO has not responded to the Corps Planning Group as to when they could expect their evaluation. The Corps did state once they receive the concurrence letters from the two agencies there would be a quick turnaround to signed NEPA document and send to the Real Estate Department. The Real Estate Department has everything they need to complete the out grant,
2. Paulsell Valley Rehabilitation Project – The District is waiting for a response on what the Landowners would like to do. The Board denied paying the 50% cost sharing for the feasibility study the Landowners wanted. Discussions are ongoing as to the direction the Landowners would like to pursue.
3. Trinitas Paulsell Valley Option – After the August 29th meeting and the Board providing the Direction to draft an agreement for the Paulsell Lateral Expansion, an agreement was drafted and sent to the District's counsel. The agreement is under review by legal counsel.
4. Rubicon Expansion (TCC) Project – Davids Engineering was given a Work Release to sign and return. Davids Engineering has started the project development and schedule to facilitate the grant application process. Davids Engineering will be on site to review the Rubicon Expansion project for the best way to package for a grant application. The site visit is scheduled for October 24, 2014.
5. Fuel Tank Replacement Project – Banks & Associates mobilized on September 16th and completed the project on September 18th. Final payment is on hold for the disposal permit for compliance from the county.
6. Contract Administration activities on contracts to be renewed and/or issued, Work releases, Request for Proposals and Change Orders for contracts are ongoing.

Construction Activities

1. The construction and maintenance season began on 10/15 with construction refresher training. All crews and equipment operators commenced activities on District facilities on 10/16.
2. Danny Jones and Brian Waters have been selected to fill the two vacant equipment operator positions starting on 10/13. Congratulations to both employees.
3. Preparing and conducting employee evaluations.
4. Reviewing and processing JSF's generated by Water Ops. Dept. staff.
5. Assisting Water Ops./Engineering Dept. with various assigned tasks.

6. Morrison Pipeline Project – OID forces continue to lay pipe through the Eakin parcel. The road crossing through Sonora Road has been paved back.
7. C&M Manager was in Sacramento on 10/18 to take the examination for a Qualified Applicators License (QAL). The exam covered three categories; Right of Way, Aquatic, and Laws & Regulations.
8. Conducting field review and plan review of upcoming projects with Water Ops. Manager.

Water Operations Activities

Engineering

1. Land use Conversion – Continued to work with landowners, tenants, irrigation design companies and Support Services/Water Operations concerning ongoing and future land use conversion projects.
2. At the request of the Kimberly Nilsson, formerly Kimberly Riggs, OID staff is proceeding with processing an Encroachment Agreement for the existing improvements within the Townhill PL ROW. The draft Record of Survey is still being reviewed by the County.
3. Attended the Water Advisory Committee (WAC) meeting on Wednesday, October 8th. The committee discussed the potential for a moratorium at the request of the president of the BOS. It appeared as though the general consensus was that a moratorium would not be ideal for the County as a whole for several reasons, but a draft proposal with more specific terms and conditions would be brought back for further discussion and a formal recommendation at the next meeting. The WAC also emphasized their recommendation to focus on data collection in the unrepresented areas within the County (white areas). While the recommendation by the public was to proceed with a water balance based on a scientific analysis of what the crop demand was, Stanislaus County staff urged that the GW Ordinance instead be approved which would require individual well and pumping data to be reported. This would theoretically allow Stanislaus County to compile a GW database & eventually management plan for these white areas. The additional timing and funding of such an effort was questioned, but the recommendation for the BOS to adopt the GW Ordinance was ultimately requested to be an action item at the next WAC meeting on October 28th.
4. Continued to process Request for Work Approvals, plans and cost estimates as needed for 2014-15 winter construction and maintenance projects.

Ag Water

1. Continued to research and respond to numerous miscellaneous irrigation and maintenance issues and inquiries.
2. Winterization of boundary outflow sites began on October 15th. SCADA winter maintenance will follow.
3. The Water Operations Manager attended several annual Improvement District meetings along with the Water Utilities Supervisor and CFO.
4. The 2014-15 water season began on October 1st. One opportunity for water was provided in October before it was pulled for the winter on or about Friday, October 10th.

5. DSOs completed winterization in each division and tool/truck check in/out on Tuesday, October 14th before reporting to C&M the following morning.

Water Utilities

1. Improvement District No. 41:
 - Pumping Station No. 3 remains out of service due to high turbidity issues.
2. Domestic Water Pumping Stations:
 - Staff continues to conduct weekly inspection, servicing and security checks of the pumping stations. There is nothing unusual or out of the ordinary to report.
3. Domestic Water Systems:
 - Monthly Coliform Bacteria water quality samples were collected on Monday, October 6th. No problems were found, all water systems under OID's jurisdiction are operating without restrictions.
 - Annual budget review meetings were held with the ID committees on October 7th, 8th, and 9th. Representatives from ID 22 failed to attend the meeting. ID 49 and ID 51 were unable to attend and will reschedule.
4. Rural Water System No. 1:
 - The State of California Department of Public Health conducted an inspection of OID's RWS #1 on Friday, October 10th, no problems were found.
 - Staff continues replacing water meters as part of the WUD's annual meter replacement program.
5. On-Call Activities:
 - Nothing to Report.
6. Irrigation Pumping Stations:
 - Staff continued to conduct weekly inspection, servicing and security checks of the pumping stations thru the irrigation season. There is nothing unusual or out of the ordinary to report other than the items listed below:
 - Brady River Pump remained locked out thru the end of the irrigation season due to low river water levels.
 - Harter Reclamation Pump – Replaced old propeller flow meter with a new magmeter.
 - Winterization and lock-out procedures were performed on October 13th & 14th, as part of the final weekly inspection, serving & security checks of the pumping stations for the 2014 Irrigation Season.

Financing Activities

1. Continue to meet with OE3 on reviewing revised PR&Rs.
2. Assist GM in Union negotiations.
3. Implementation of new billing software.
4. Responding to customer calls regarding the Prop 218 Notice and attended Prop 218 Informational meetings.
5. 2015 Budget preparation.
6. Attended CSDA Conference the week of September 29th.
7. The District received \$55,600 on SDRMA's Credit Incentive Program discount towards its worker's compensation premium for the 2014-2015 period.
8. Routine accounting functions (AP, AR, PR, billing, reconciliations, etc.)

B. COMMITTEE REPORTS

Water/Engineering Committee, October 7, 2014

- Theft of Water Fine (APN: 002-015-011 – McAfee)
- Replacement of Private Pipeline Crossing Paulsell Lateral (APN: 011-005-034/035 – Grohl)

Director Webb stated that the Water/Engineering Committee met and agreed to waive the theft of water fine. Director Webb also stated that the Grohl matter would be brought to the full Board for decision.

C. DIRECTORS' COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS

Director Clark

Director Clark had no comments.

Director Doornenbal

Director Doornenbal had no comments.

Director Bairos

Director Bairos had not comments.

Director Webb

Director Webb thanked the audience for attending the hearing. He stated that the District and its constituents will work through the volumetric measuring together.

At the hour of 9:50 a.m. the meeting adjourned to Closed Session.

CLOSED SESSION
ITEM NO. 15

- A. Government Code §54956.8 Conference with Real Property Negotiator**
Negotiating Parties: OID and Paulsell Valley Landowners
Property: Water
Agency Negotiators: General Manager and Counsel
Under Negotiations: Price and Terms
- B. Government Code §54956.9 - Existing Litigation**
(1) *Agee v. Oakdale Irrigation District*
- C. Government Code §54957.6 - Conference with Labor Negotiator**
Agency Negotiator: General Manager
Unrepresented Employee Organization: Operations Employees

**D. Government Code §54956.9
Initiation of Litigation Pursuant to Paragraph (4) of Subdivision (d) of
§54956.9
One (1) Case**

At the hour of 11:26 a.m. the meeting returned to open session.

Coming out of Closed Session, President Webb stated that there was no reportable action.

**OTHER ACTION
ITEM NO. 19**

The meeting adjourned at the hour of 11:26 a.m. The next Regular Board Meeting of the **Oakdale Irrigation District Board of Directors** is scheduled for **Tuesday, November 4, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.** in the board room at 1205 East F Street, Oakdale, CA.

The next Regular Joint Board Meeting for the **Tri-Dam Project** is scheduled for **Thursday, November 20, 2014 at 9:00 a.m.** in the board room of the South San Joaquin Irrigation District, 11011 East Highway 120, Manteca, CA.

Steve Webb, President

Attest:

Steve Knell, P.E., Secretary